UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/571,317	03/09/2006	Eiji Honda	Q93199	8365
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 06/29/200 ON, PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVÁNIA AVENUE, N	BOYLE, ROBERT C		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		06/29/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
		10/571,3	317	HONDA ET AL.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit			
		ROBERT	C. BOYLE	1796			
Th Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress		
A SHORT WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perio - Failure to r Any reply r	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE NOTE of time may be available under the provision S) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come of for reply is specified above, the maximum so pely within the set or extended period for reply eceived by the Office later than three months ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. tatutory period will apply and v y will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATIO vent, however, may a reply be til vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ Res 2a)⊠ This 3)⊡ Sin	sponsive to communication(s) files action is <b>FINAL</b> .  The ce this application is in condition sed in accordance with the praction.	2b) ☐ This action is for allowance excep	t for formal matters, pr		e merits is		
Disposition (	of Claims						
4a) 5)	im(s) <u>9-15</u> is/are pending in the Of the above claim(s) is/a im(s) is/a im(s) is/are allowed. im(s) <u>9-15</u> is/are rejected. im(s) is/are objected to. im(s) are subject to restring are subject to by the drawing(s) filed on <u>09 March 20</u> licant may not request that any objected to or other images.	are withdrawn from concionand/or election election election election election is/are: a)  acce	requirement. pted or b)⊡ objected t	•	r.		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
·	•	o by the Examiner. It		The second of terms	102.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice of [3] Informatio	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/571,317 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

- 2. Any rejections stated in the previous Office Action and not repeated below are withdrawn.
- 3. No new grounds of rejection have been introduced, therefore this action is properly deemed FINAL.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

- 4. Claims 9, 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Curtin et al., U.S. Patent 6,150,426.
- 5. The rejection is adequately set forth in paragraphs 2-8 in the office action mailed on February 27, 2009 and is incorporated here by reference.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curtin in view of Schreyer, U.S. Patent 3,085,083. The discussion with respect to Curtin as set forth in paragraphs 4-5 above is incorporated here by reference.
- 7. The rejection is adequately set forth in paragraphs 9-13 in the office action mailed on February 27, 2009 and is incorporated here by reference.

Application/Control Number: 10/571,317 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

8. Claims 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curtin in view of Hasegawa et al., WIPO Publication 02/096983. The discussion with respect to Curtin as set forth in paragraphs 4-7 above is incorporated here by reference.

9. The rejection is adequately set forth in paragraphs 14-16 in the office action mailed on February 27, 2009 and is incorporated here by reference.

## Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's arguments filed May 27, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 11. Applicant argues that since Comparative Example 1 of the instant specification (¶ 232-237) has a IR carboxyl group, [-COOH], peak to  $-CF_2$  peak ratio, represented by " $^x/_y$ ", that falls outside the claimed range, then the analogous polymers of Curtin must also have a  $^x/_y$  that falls outside the claimed range. This is not persuasive.
- 12. Applicant has shown that Comparative Example 1 differs from the claimed fluoropolymer. However, Applicant has not provided evidence on how the fluoropolymers of Curtin relate in comparison to either the claimed fluoropolymer or the Comparative Example 1 of the instant specification.
- 13. Examiner has provided a reasonable basis for the lack of carboxyl groups in the polymer: (1) no monomers containing carboxyl groups were polymerized column 3, lines 49-63; column 4, lines 22-50) and (2) Curtin teaches compositions of the fluoropolymer are free of components containing carbonyl bonds (column 6, lines 49-65; column 12, lines 43-50).

Art Unit: 1796

- 14. As to (1), Applicant has shown through Comparative Example 1 that a fluoropolymer left standing in air for 2 days with 700 ppm moisture content can have carboxyl groups that fall outside the claimed range. However, Applicant has not shown that the fluoropolymers of Curtin have been left standing in air for 2 days with the requisite moisture content or pointed to where Curtin teaches letting the fluoropolymers sit in air for 2 days.
- 15. As to (2), it is noted that carbonyl bonds (C=O) are necessarily present in carboxyl groups (-C(O)OH), and a composition including the fluoropolymer must include the fluoropolymer as a component. Therefore, if the components of the composition are substantially free of carbonyl groups, and the fluoropolymer is a component, then the fluoropolymer must be substantially free of carbonyl groups and thus substantially free of carboxyl groups.
- 16. Therefore, Applicant's argument is not persuasive.
- 17. Applicant argues that Curtin and Schreyer do not teach –CF<sub>3</sub> end groups. This is not persuasive.
- 18. Curtin discloses "Preferred compositions in accordance with the invention are also free of C-H bonds" (column 6, lines 60-65). Schreyer teaches end groups of -CF<sub>2</sub>H (column 5, line 60-column 6, line 65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that given the end group -CF<sub>2</sub>H of Schreyer, on a fluoropolymer that is free of C-H bonds as taught by Curtin would result in the claimed end groups of -CF<sub>3</sub>. Further, Schreyer teaches additional fluorinated methyl end-groups add stability give improved

Art Unit: 1796

corrosion resistance (column 1, line 15-column 2, line 72; table IV). Therefore, Applicant's argument is not persuasive.

#### Conclusion

19. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT C. BOYLE whose telephone number is (571)270-7347. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00AM-5:00PM Eastern.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571)272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/571,317 Page 6

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/R. C. B./ Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/Vasu Jagannathan/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796